



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

C

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,820	12/04/2003	Craig Andrews	LYNN/0169	7460
24945	7590	08/10/2007		
STREETS & STEELE 13831 NORTHWEST FREEWAY SUITE 355 HOUSTON, TX 77040			EXAMINER ECHELMAYER, ALIX ELIZABETH	
			ART UNIT 1745	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/10/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/727,820	Applicant(s) ANDREWS, CRAIG	
	Examiner Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer	Art Unit 1745	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 June 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,6-10 and 18-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,6-10 and 18-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 26, 2007 has been entered.
2. Claim 1 has been amended. Claim 11 has been cancelled. Claims 19-22 have been added. Claims 1, 6-10 and 18-22 are pending and are rejected for the reasons given below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milgate, Jr. et al. (US Patent 6,852,441) in view of Bonnaud et al. (US Patent 5,037,711).

Milgate et al. teach a fuel cell stack having reinforcing members as support frames to restrict stress and minimize strain in the fuel cell frames caused by high internal pressure (column 3 lines 35-63). For fuel cells having a cylindrical frame, the reinforcing member is a cylinder surrounding the outside of the frame. As seen in Figures 1 and 3, the frames are generally planar. The planar components, or cell frames as they are called in Milgate et al., are plastic (column 2 lines 40-63; column 4 line 56).

As for claims 6 and 7, Milgate et al. teach that the reinforcing cylinder is in place to restrict the stress and strain caused by the internal pressure from the fluids required for the operation of the fuel cell (abstract, column 3 lines 35-64). Thus, the fluids in the stack would be pressurized, pressing out against the reinforcing member and placing the member in tension.

With regard to claims 8-10, Milgate et al. teach a plurality of reinforcing rings to help contain fuel cell frames not capable of withstanding internal pressure (column 2 lines 46-54; column 3 lines 6-11). The plurality of bands can reinforce a single cell or a plurality of cells, or a plurality of substacks within a stack.

Milgate et al. fail to teach that the reinforcing bands comprise polyamide fibers, or that the polymer component comprises substantially the same polymer material as the polymer binder.

Bonnaud et al. teach an insulating binding for a stack of electrochemical cells (abstract). The binding is made of polyamide (column 2 lines 55-56).

Bonnaud et al. further teach that the binding is resistant to the chemicals of the cells.

It would be desirable to use the insulating, or non-conducting, binding, or band, of Bonnaud et al. in the cell of Milgate et al. because the band is resistant to the chemicals of the cell.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the insulating, or non-conducting, binding, or band, of Bonnaud et al. in the cell of Milgate et al. because the band is resistant to the chemicals of the cell.

5. Claims 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milgate, Jr. et al. in view of Bonnaud et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and in further view of Cousin et al. (US 4,707,977), Blunk et al. (US 2003/0096151) and Applicant's Admission of Prior Art.

The teachings of Milgate et al. and Bonnaud et al. as discussed above are incorporated herein.

Regarding claim 21, Milgate et al. in view of Bonnaud et al. teach polyamide reinforcing bands but fail to teach that the reinforcing bands contain a binder.

Cousin et al. teach a composite cord having high tensile strength and the ability to resist physical and chemical attack (abstract; column 1 lines 17-30).

Cousin et al. further teach that the cords are made of polyamide fibers with a thermoplastic binder (column 5 lines 3-9).

Bonnaud et al. and Cousin et al. are analogous art since both are concerned with bands that are used to reinforce components.

It would be desirable to use the cords of Cousin et al. to form the bands in Milgate et al. in view of Bonnaud et al. since the cords have having tensile strength and are resistant to physical and chemical attack, conditions that are necessary in the environment of the cell of Milgate et al.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the cords of Cousin et al. to form the bands in Milgate et al. in view of Bonnaud et al. since the cords have having tensile strength and are resistant to physical and chemical attack.

Regarding claims 18, 19, 20 and 22, Blunk et al. teach a fuel cell having a bipolar plate for delivering reactants to the membrane electrode assembly (abstract). The bipolar plate, as seen in Figure 2, provides a gas barrier (58) between the anode of one cell and the cathode of the next, while also allowing for fluids to pass.

Blunk et al. further teach that the bipolar plate comprises polyamide and is conductive. The plate is oxidation and acid resistant ([0027]). The plate contains many different materials, and would inherently have to include a binder in order to bind the compound together.

It would be desirable to make a gas barrier plate for the fuel cell system of Milgate et al. comprising polyamide, as taught by Blunk et al., since it is oxidation and acid resistant and provides reactants to the fuel cell, allowing it to operate.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make a gas barrier plate for the fuel cell system of Milgate et al. comprising polyamide, as taught by Blunk et al., since it is oxidation and acid resistant and provides reactants to the fuel cell, allowing it to operate.

Further, it would have been obvious to make the plate conductive since, as it is known to one having ordinary skill in the art, the bipolar plate is often used as a current collector, and Applicants teach in the specification that it is typical to make a gas barrier plate electrically conducting (p. 8 lines 21-23 of the specification).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed June 26, 2007 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer whose telephone number is 571-272-1101. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Susy N. Tsang-Foster can be reached on 571-272-1293. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1745

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer
Examiner
Art Unit 1745

aee


SUSY TSANG-FOSTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER